Universal Dependencies for Mbyá Guaraní

Guillaume Thomas

August 30, 2019

Department of Linguistics University of Toronto

Mbyá Guaraní

- Tupi-Guaraní language
- About 30,000 speakers: Argentina, Brazil, Paraguay



Meridional

Boliviano

Costa brasileira Tocantins-

Tocantins-Maranhão Tocant.-Mearim

MT-Rondônia

Alto Xingu Amazônia Set.

*Guarani antigo Avá/ Nhandeva	Guarayo Guarasug'wä Pauserna Siriono	*Tupinambá *Tupiniquim *Potiguara	Asurini do Tocantins Tapirapé		Parintintin	Kamayurá	Wayâpi Wayampipu Émérillon Zo'é	uku
Caiová	Yuki	Nheengatu				IV		VI
Guarani paraguaio Mbyá	Aché	(Cocama) (Omágua)	Parakanã Suruí e Mudjetíre	Anambé Amanavé	Apiaká Amondawa		Guajá	
Xetá G. do Chaco/		(Onlagua)	Tembé Guajajara	Araweté Asurini do Xingu	Kawahib/ Uru-eu-wau-wau		Ka'apor	
Chiriguano				0				
Tapiete I	п	ш	Avá-Canoeiro	Kayabi				v

(Dietrich 2010)



Corpus

• UD Mbyá Guaraní Dooley:

Robert A. Dooley. 2011 Mbyá Guaraní collection of Robert Dooley. The Archive of the Indigenous Languages of Latin America: www.ailla.utexas.org. Media: text. Access: 100% restricted. PID ailla:119734.

Guillaume, Thomas and Dooley, Robert A. 2019. Dependency Treebank derived from the Mbyá Guaraní collection of Robert Dooley. Access: 100% restricted. PID ailla:119734

- 33 narratives, 1046 sentences
- 2 authors, Rio das Cobras, Paraná, Brazil
- UD Mbyá Guaraní Thomas
 - Tiny 98 sentence corpus of autobiographical narratives recorded in Paraguay

Corpus

- Modification to Dooley's interlinearization in SIL FLEx
- Features converted from FLEx glosses and tags
- Dependency annotation:
 - manual annotation of first 500 sentences in Arborator
 - UDPipe annotation of second half, manual correction
 - first round of correction, four student RAs
 - three other rounds by PI

This talk

- Properties of Mbyá that challenge current UD annotation scheme
- Favour alternatives already suggested in earlier work:

Kim Gerdes, Sylvain Kahane. 2016. Dependency Annotation Choices: Assessing Theoretical and Practical Issues of Universal Dependencies. In proceedings of LAW 10, ACL, 131–140.

William Croft, Dawn Nordquist, Katherine Looney, Michael Regan. 2017. Linguistic Typology meets Universal Dependencies. In proceedings of TLT15, 63–75.

Kim Gerdes, Bruno Guillaume, Sylvain Kahane, Guy Perrier. 2018. SUD or Surface-Syntactic UniversalDependencies: An annotation scheme near-isomorphic to UD. In proceedings of UDW 2018, 66–74.

Syntactic Categories

- Morphology: nouns morphologically similar to inactive verbs
- Syntax:
 - nouns are productively predicative
 - predicative nouns behave as a mixed category
- Matter of debate among Guaraniologists:

Wolf Dietrich. 2017. Word Classes and Word Class Switching in Guaraní Syntax. In Bruno Estigarribia and Justin Pinta (eds), Guaraní Linguistics in the 21 st century, pages 158–193. Leiden: Brill.

- Noun:
 - can be used as argument without derivation
 - compatible with nominal tense
- (1) A-japo xe-r-o-rã.A3-do B1.SG-R-house-FUTVERB NOUN
 - vt n

'I am building my house.' (Dooley 2015)

• Note form of possessive prefix

- Active/inactive alignment:
- (2) A-vaẽ.
 (3) Xe-kane'õ.
 A1.SG-arrive
 B1.SG-tired
 VERB
 Vi:a
 'I arrived.'
 'I am tired.'

• Inactive verbs and nouns belong to the same agreement inflection class

- Predicative uses of nouns:
- (4) Xe-irũ.B1.SG-friend'*I have a friend.*'

João xe-irũ.
 João B1.SG-friend
 'João is my friend.'

• Predicative nouns as a mixed categoy:



- One day, when he went [there], the saw that the cabbage had beautiful
- Tagged as NOUN:
 - Analyzed as predicate nominal constructions
 - Other languages may use copular/verbal strategies for this construction
 - cf. Croft el al. (2017)

Adjectives and Adverbs

- No morphological categories of adjectives and adverbs
- Stative verbs used as modifiers:
- (6) Kova'e ára ma i-porã vaipa.
 DEM day BDY B3-good very
 DET NOUN PART VERB PART
 dem n discprt vi:i intprt

'This day is very good.' (Dooley 2015)

• Here categorization favours syntactic rather than morphological information

Adjectives and Adverbs

- No morphological categories of adjectives and adverbs
- Stative verbs used as modifiers:
- (7) Avaxi o-nhotỹ r-yxy porã.
 Corn A3-plant R-line good
 NOUN VERB NOUN ADJ
 n vt n vi:i

'He planted the corn in beautiful lines.' (Dooley 2015)

• Here categorization favours syntactic rather than morphological information

Adjectives and Adverbs

- No morphological categories of adjectives and adverbs
- Stative verbs used as modifiers:
- (8) Oro-vy'a porã.
 A1.PL.EXCL-happy good
 VERB ADV
 vi:a vi:i

'We were very happy.' (Dooley 2015)

• Here categorization favours syntactic rather than morphological information

Dependencies

Particles

- Uninflected
- Short (one or two syllables)
- Flexible with respect to the category of their head
- Functions:
 - Express grammatical features of their head (e.g. aspect)
 - Non-determiner quantifiers
 - Focus sensitive operators
 - Illocutionary modifiers

Issues with nominal particles

- Do not match any UD nominal dependent
- Example: collective/associative plural particle kuery



• Unsatisfying decision: kuery introduced by clf

Issues with TAME particles

- Reluctant to relate them to their head by aux
- Modification of nouns as well as verbs:



Issues with TAME particles

- Reluctant to relate them to their head by aux
- TAME notions conveyed through adverbs in English:



Dependencies for particles

- Current annotation scheme (simplified):
 - Associative plural related to NOUN, PRON or PROPN by clf
 - Interrogative particle *pa* introduced by discourse:q
 - Other PART related to NOUN/PRON/PROPN by amod
 - Other PART related to their heads by advmod
- A better solution: category neutral mod (Gerdes et al. 2018)

Particles

• Subcategorization of particles in language specific tagset makes it easy to change the label of these relations:

aspect particles	aspprt		
discourse particles	discprt		
focus particles	focprt		
illocutionary particles	illocprt		
intensifiers	intprt		
modal particles	modprt		
quantificational particles	quantprt		
question particles	qprt		
tense particles	temprt		

• e.g. map advmod to aux for aspprt modifiers of VERB

Postposed roots as compound:svc

- share arguments and TAME
- uninflected
- no independent argument
- no argument or modifier intervening between verb and postposed root



'He even raised dust.'

Secondary predicates as compound:svc

- share arguments and TAME
- identified by a converbial suffix
- inflected for agreement in person and number
- some arguments or modifiers may intervene between predicates



'And then his father in law rejoiced..'

Serial Verb Constructions as compound?

- 'Secondary predicates' don't show the level of morphological integration one would expect of compounds
- No satisfying alternative in current inventory of dependency relation labels
- Serial Verb Constructions are arguably forms of cosubordination (Olson 1981, Foley & Van Valin 1984):
 - more syntactic structure than compounds
 - neither coordination nor subordination
- A better solution? Croft et al. (2017) suggested cxp

Clausal nominalization as ccomp and csubj

- Clausal properties:
 - internal clausal structure
 - denote propositions
- Nominal properties:
 - compatible with nominal tense suffixes
 - can be complement of postpositions



'He knew that his grandfather couldn't hear well anymore.'

Free relative clauses as nsubj and obj

- Clausal properties:
 - internal clausal structure
- Nominal properties:
 - denote entities
 - compatible with nominal tense suffixes
 - can be complement of postpositions



'He met the person that he had heard coming.'

Dependencies for nominalized clauses

- We are forced into a somewhat arbitrary choice:
 - obj/nsubj: resolve mixed category to NOUN
 - ccomp/csubj: resolve mixed category to a clause
- Better alternative (Croft et al. 2017, Gerdes et al. 2018)
 - subj
 - comp

Conclusion

Conclusion

- Phenomena at issue:
 - 1. Mixed categories (predicate nominals, nominalization)
 - 2. Category neutral modification (particles)
 - 3. Cosubordination (serial verb constructions)
- Issues arise at level of dependency relation labelling.
- Issue with 1 and 2: mixing POS and relation label (Gerdes et al. 2016, 2018; Croft et al. 2017)

Worry: if the mixing of POS and relation label leads to arbitrary annotation decisions, does it lead to less homogeneous annotation guidelines across languages?

• Issue with 3: need to add a new class of dependency relations besides coordination and subordination.

Thank You